

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 27, 1997      LB 788

the obligation of the state. So this clarifies, I think, the bill and helps it and should diminish the financial obligation. With that, I'd ask for adoption of committee amendments.

SPEAKER WITHEM PRESIDING

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Wesely. For discussion on the committee amendments, Chair would recognize Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: Mr. Speaker, if Senator Wesely would yield to a question or two.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Wesely, will you respond?

SENATOR WESELY: Yes.

SENATOR BROMM: And I understand and appreciate, Senator Wesely, you weren't the initial, primary sponsor of the bill, but I was cosponsor and I understand, I think, what the intent is. Is there...are you aware of any financial data that would tell us what the difference between two years and three years might mean, or what the financial data might be at all on this bill? That's something I haven't seen yet.

SENATOR WESELY: Senator Bromm, the department told us that they didn't know that there would be any financial impact by making that change. They were uncertain but didn't suspect any.

SENATOR BROMM: I notice in the fiscal note that it is relevant, I guess, if we do incur costs under this type of condition that at least under the present law approximately 60 percent would probably be covered with federal fund contributions under Medicaid. Is that right?

SENATOR WESELY: Right.

SENATOR BROMM: So that would reduce the impact somewhat. Thank you, Senator Wesely. I support the committee amendments and I support the concept of the bill. I know it's probably more important than the time it's going to get on consent calendar. It is a very big dilemma for a family when they go through an adoption and do give a child a home and then find out, within a